

I am a victim of illegal wiretapping by opp. atty.

Net Neutrality is essential to free speech, equal opportunity and economic innovation in America. Since the FCC removed this basic protection in 2005, the top executives of phone and cable companies have stated their intention to become the Internet's gatekeepers and to discriminate against Web sites that don't pay their added tolls.

Complaint to be registered and in further documents:

Net Neutrality is essential to free speech, equal opportunity and economic innovation in America. Since the FCC removed this basic protection in 2005, the top executives of phone and cable companies have stated their intention to become the Internet's gatekeepers and to discriminate against Web sites that don't pay their added tolls.

I enter my Complaint to the FCC and register (herein); and in subsequent documentation:

I have had my phones tapped, my computer monitored listening devices put in my attic from 1998 to this day. I claim, by seen stalking hired local officers used to further abuse litigants against certain condo attorneys who actually mastermind the now reported organized criminal syndicates that are operating "theft of homes"; implementing collusion with the communication companies, herein, Road Runner High Speed subscription in Tampa Bay area by false pretext of "investigating a 'crime'" (actually plain illegal eavesdropping on computers, phones car by GSP exclusively for a said condo attorney --for \$25/hr as attorney's "private investigation" that I say is the abuse of power and violations of privacy per Bill of Rights, 4th Amendment: in every capacity of at least that whole Article:

One example: On Dec. 3, 2006, my computer had a very unusual disconnection Dec. 3-5, that when I called Road Runner, my ISP, their Customer Representative who answered, said with some non-committal (tho very suspicious) statement of the no-reason-disconnect, that I, "needed an in-house serviceman to come out to fix it."---Which I claim was the said condo "syndicate" colluding with Road Runner with several employees (knowingly) to abet an illegal invasion of my computer--in my home (recorded) to then put devices (a keystroke monitor) on my computer---to spy for private purposes of said attorney. I am elderly and with a chronic debilitating illness, and we all are in are late 60's to 80's, elderly,. I know what is going on --for the past 11 years of condo corruption. I am a trained observer by education and career. I am a scientist, and former high school teacher, etc., etc.; and an upstanding citizen. I am not a criminal, but just an old woman that brought the undying vindictiveness of a certain claimed sociopath attorney and his minions --

I won a 7-year civil condo lawsuit--I was so right that I WON (IN 2003) REPRESENTING MYSELF, WRITING MY OWN BRIEFS, MOTIONS AND OBJECTIONS, ETC.--- AGAINST THE MOST

NOTORIOUS CONDO-ATTORNEY IN FLORIDA---to his undying vindictiveness, I'm sure. I was proven to be the winning litigant---twice (in audit, immediately; and tho ignored by what is being reported as a corrupt American County Court system, I, WON IN A HIGHER COURT REPRESENTING MYSELF ALL THE WAY THRU. AND THE ATTORNEY AND HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS OF THE CONDO "SYNDICATE BOARD" LOST AND WAS, THEREFORE, FOUND TO HAVE PROSECUTED A FALSE CLAIM, ETC.: 'false and malicious" civil claim by what is being reported IN WEB SITES AND BLOGS AND ARTICLES, FINALLY (The American Homeowners Resource Center dot com), as a menacing trend across the nation--I add, since I know of the wiretapping, et al, that it is a violation of the RICO Act: co-conspiracies THAT WIRETAP, MONITOR COMPUTERS, AND STRING LISTENING DEVICES IN MY ATTIC---TO MY BEDROOM AIR VENT TO THE OUTSIDE. All with the intent to commit fraud in order to steal condo and home titles from property owners in the egregiously set-up that, I claim, hires local rogue cops to be "enforcers" to abuse the "statutes" of "associations" in violation of the: Florida Statutes, Section 718 and in violation of any pertinent civil law, yet we are thrown to the wolves, by the authorities AND the Dept.of Business and Professional Regulations and their Divion of condominium "Compliance"; and tho they agree to my complaints and other condo owners in letters to me, they do not refer to criminal investigation, thereof---no teeth, apparently. Regulatory agencies, state attorneys, the police, smirk at complaints we have made across the state; I say, apparently they work for and with these so-called condo attorneys. The local police and deputies are hired, thus biased, as private eyes, so they brush-off and taunt this complaintant, as I have tried. As herein. But, when one's phones are tapped and computers monitored, imag!

ine the
flurry of the opposing forces put into play upon finding info of a mounting offense against the said condo organized criminal syndicate: with all the police equipment and police powers ---to abuse--en masse. I know, look at the lengths taken, to "protect" this said massive \$500,000 embezzled from this condo in their fake "administration" in 4 years that went down at my condo complex---My 11-page complaints have been artfully stone-walled by the state regulatory agencies and state attorneys to allow this said scam to continue since reported the above named DBPR actually TOLD ME THE EXTENT OF THESE "CON ARTISTS" ACTIONS--- BY THE STATE DEPT. OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION IN MAY, 2003 BY THEIR OWN SUPERVISOR, TO NO REAL AVAIL OR PROTECTION FOR: condo owners and the wide (as reported) use of illegal surveillance equipment to invade the mostly elderly condo owners' home, car, and records (evidence of same).

Read further, as is so true, by all the more than 11 years of evidence I have gathered in my 20 year ownership and residence of this condo that I barely can live in now. This is not a democracy as I reported many times. I told my neighbors that they actually do not own their own property, that syndicate run by the condo attorney--paid for by ill-gotten condo funds, runs our lives, raises monthly fees based on nothing, stonewalls inspections and ignores the DBPR silly useless "letters" to cease and desist, etc.

I, attest, with supporting evidence in my court documents, that I do not have free use of my paid for computer and phone equipment. With all due respect, as may further prove, if nothing is done by the FCC.

This fundamental change would end the open Internet as we know it. It would damage my ability to connect with others, share information and participate in our 21st century democracy and economy. The FCC must ensure that broadband providers do not block, interfere with or discriminate against any lawful Internet traffic based on its ownership, source or destination.

change would end the open Internet as we know it. It would damage my ability to connect with others, share information and participate in our 21st century democracy and economy. The FCC must ensure that broadband providers do not block, interfere with or discriminate against any lawful Internet traffic based on its ownership, source or destination.